

CH3 PRIOR INFORMATION AND SUBJECTIVE PROBABILITY

Determining Prior: discrete variables

Scoring
Betting

3.2 Determining Prior Density

- Histogram approach
- The relative likelihood approach
- Matching a given functional form
 - Estimating prior moments μ, σ^2
 - (?) The tail of a density can have a drastic effect on its moments Eq. $\int_b^\infty \theta \cdot (K\theta^{-2}) d\theta = \infty$
 - Estimating fractiles
 - Equivalent sample size / device of imaginary results

For normal distribution, the posterior with normal prior:

$$\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + y_n} \right) \bar{x} + \left(\frac{1/n}{\sigma^2 + y_n} \right) \mu$$

- $\sigma^2 = 1/n^*$ equivalent to have $1/\sigma^2$ samples of mean μ .
- (?) Useful only when certain specific functional forms
 - (?) Tend to considerably underestimate the amount of information carried by a sample of size n .
 - CDF determination (CDF: cumulative distribution function)
 - 1° Subjectively determine several α -fractiles, $z(\alpha)$.
 - 2° Plot the points $(\alpha, z(\alpha))$ and sketch a smooth curve joining them.

§ 3.3 Noninformative Priors

No (or minimal) prior information available + compelling Bayesian analysis



Noninformative Prior

Example : Discrete variables: uniform

Example : $\Theta = (-\infty, \infty)$ uniform $\Rightarrow \pi(\theta) = C > 0$.

$\int \pi(\theta) d\theta = \infty$ may or may not cause problems

Severe (though unjustified) criticism:

Lack of Invariance under Transformation

Example: Let $\eta = \exp\{\theta\}$.

$$\pi^*(\eta) = \eta^{-1} \pi(\log \eta)$$

Let $y = g(x)$, $x = h(y)$

$$(h = g^{-1})$$

$$f_y(y) = |h'(y)| f_x(h(y))$$

Example (Noninformative priors for location problems)

Suppose \mathcal{X} and Θ are subsets of \mathbb{R}^p , and
density of X is of the form $f(x-\theta)$

(E.g. $x-\theta \sim N(\theta, \Sigma)$)
 Σ fixed.

$c \in \mathbb{R}^p$, fixed
↓

Imagine that, instead of X , we observe $Y = X + c$.

Then Y has density $f(y-\eta)$

\Rightarrow The (X, θ) and (Y, η) problems are identical in structure



Noninformative priors in general settings, please see textbooks pp. 87-88

Let π_1 and π_2 denote the noninformative priors for θ and η

Invariant noninformative assumption : $P^{\pi_1}(\theta \in A) = P^{\pi_2}(\eta \in A) \quad \forall A \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^P$

$$\text{Then } P^{\pi_2}(\eta \in A) = P^{\pi_1}(\theta + c \in A) = P^{\pi_1}(\theta \in A - c)$$

Combining the above equations:

$$P^{\pi_1}(\theta \in A) = P^{\pi_1}(\theta \in A - c)$$

$$\int_A \pi(\theta) d\theta = \int_{A-c} \pi(\theta) d\theta = \int_A \pi(\theta - c) d\theta$$

Unnecessary for
intuitive thinking!
Insufficient for
mathematical proofs

It can be shown that

$$\pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta - c)$$

$$\text{Let } \theta = c, \quad \pi(c) = \pi(0)$$

Example (Noninformative priors for scale problems)

$$y = \frac{x}{\sigma} \quad f_Y(y) = \sigma^{-1} f_X\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)$$

σ : a scale parameter Eg. $\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta)$

Imagine that, instead of observing ~~X~~, we observe the random

variable ~~Y = CX~~ ($c > 0$)

Note that $X \sim \frac{1}{\sigma^{-1}} f\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)$

$$\text{Let } \eta = c \cdot \sigma. \quad Y \sim \eta^{-1} f\left(\frac{y}{\eta}\right)$$

$$Y = cX \sim \frac{1}{c\sigma^{-1}} f\left(\frac{y}{c\sigma}\right)$$

If $x = R'$ of $\mathcal{X} = (0, \infty)$, then

(x, σ) is equivalent to (Y, η)

Denote π_1 and π_2 the prior of σ and η

$$P^{\pi_1}(\sigma \in A) = P^{\pi_2}(\eta \in A)$$

Since $\eta = c\sigma$

$$P^{\pi_2}(\eta \in A) = P^{\pi_1}(\sigma \in c^{-1}A)$$

$$c^{-1}A = \{c^{-1}z : z \in A\}$$

Combining the above equations

$$P^{\pi}(\sigma \in A) = P^{\pi}(\sigma \in c^{-1}A)$$

Thus

$$\int_A \pi(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_{c^{-1}A} \pi(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_A \pi(c^{-1}\sigma) c^{-1}d\sigma$$

Choosing $\sigma = c$ in $\pi(\sigma) = c^{-1}\pi(c^{-1}\sigma)$

$$\pi(c) = c^{-1}\pi(1).$$

Note that $\int_0^\infty \sigma^{-1} d\sigma = \infty$, π is an improper prior.

Example (The "Table Entry" Problem)

Observation: The frequencies of the integer 1..9 being the first significant digit of the table entries are $\frac{\log(1+i^{-1})}{\log 10}$.

Explanation by "noninformative priors"

$$\tilde{\pi}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$$

Normalize on $(1, 10)$

$$\pi(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma^{-1}}{\log 10}$$

The probability of i being the first significant digit

$$p_i = \int_i^{10} [\sigma \log 10]^{-1} d\sigma = \frac{\log(1+i^{-1})}{\log 10}$$

May be coincidence, but intriguing

§ 3.4 Maximum Entropy Priors

See Adam L Berger et al., A Maximum Entropy Approach to Natural Language Processing . 1996.

Note: I remember that one or a few formulas in the above paper are wrong, when solving the Lagrangian.

§ 3.5 Using the Marginal Distributions to Determine the Prior

- Definition: The joint density of X and Θ is

$$h(x, \theta) = f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta)$$

The marginal density

$$M(x|\pi) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) dF^{\pi}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) & (\text{discrete}) \\ \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta & (\text{continuous}) \end{cases}$$

- Information about m : $\begin{cases} \text{subjective knowledge} \\ \text{data itself (empirical Bayes)} \end{cases}$
 - We consider also restricted classes of priors
denoted as Γ

1° Priors of a given functional form

$$\Gamma = \{\pi: \pi(\theta) = g(\theta|\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

2° Priors of a given structural form

E.g. θ_i independent: $B \Gamma = \left\{ \pi: \pi(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^p \pi_i(\theta_i) \right\}$

3° Priors close to an elicited prior

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \pi : \pi(\theta) = (1-\varepsilon) \pi_0(\theta) + \varepsilon g(\theta), \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{elicited prior} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{class of contamination} \end{matrix} \right\}$$

- The ML-II approach to prior selection

Definition: Suppose Γ is a class of priors under consideration, and that $\hat{\pi} \in \Gamma$ satisfies (for the observed data x).

$$m(x|\hat{\pi}) = \sup_{\pi \in \Gamma} m(x|\pi)$$

Then $\hat{\pi}$ will be called the type II maximum likelihood prior or ML-II prior.

If $\Gamma = \{\pi: \pi(\theta) = g(\theta|\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$

$$\text{then } \sup_{\pi \in \Gamma} m(x|\pi) = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(x|g(\theta|\lambda))$$

Example: Let $X \sim N(\theta, \sigma_f^2)$

$$\theta \sim N(\mu_\pi, \sigma_\pi^2)$$

$$\text{Then } m(x) = N(x_i | \mu_\pi, \sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2) \quad (\forall i)$$

$$m(x|\pi) = \prod_{i=1}^p m_\theta(x_i | \pi)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{[2\pi(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)]^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_i - \mu_\pi)^2}{2(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)} \right\}$$

$$= [2\pi(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)]^{-p/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p (x_i - \mu_\pi)^2}{2(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)} \right\}$$

$$= [2\pi(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)]^{-p/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{ps^2}{2(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{-p(\bar{x} - \mu_\pi)}{2(\sigma_\pi^2 + \sigma_f^2)} \right\}$$

$$\text{where } \bar{x} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i / p. \quad s^2 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / p$$

To maximize $m(x|\pi)$ with respect to μ_π and σ_π^2 .

We first observe that μ_π has to be \bar{x}

Then we optimize, with respect to σ_{π}^2 ,

$$\psi(\sigma_{\pi}^2) = [2\pi(\sigma_{\pi}^2 + \sigma_f^2)]^{-p/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{ps^2}{2(\sigma_{\pi}^2 + \sigma_f^2)}\right\}$$

We instead optimize $\log \psi(\sigma_{\pi}^2)$. Indeed,

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma_{\pi}^2} \log \psi(\sigma_{\pi}^2) = \frac{-p/2}{(\sigma_{\pi}^2 + \sigma_f^2)} + \frac{ps^2}{2(\sigma_{\pi}^2 + \sigma_f^2)^2} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{\pi}^2 = s^2 - \sigma_f^2.$$

Also we observe that $\sigma_{\pi}^2 \geq 0$.

$$\text{Hence } \sigma_{\pi}^2 = \max\{0, s^2 - \sigma_f^2\}$$

In conclusion ML-II prior is

$$\hat{\pi}_0 = \mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}_{\pi}, \hat{\sigma}_{\pi}^2) \text{ where } \hat{\mu}_{\pi} = \bar{x} \text{ and } \hat{\sigma}_{\pi}^2 = \max\{0, s^2 - \sigma_f^2\}$$

Example For any π in the ε -contamination class

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \pi: \pi(\theta) = (1-\varepsilon)\pi_0(\theta) + \varepsilon q(\theta), q \in Q \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m(x|\pi) &= \int f(x|\theta) \underbrace{[(1-\varepsilon)\pi_0(\theta) + \varepsilon q(\theta)]}_{\pi(\theta)} d\theta \\ &= (1-\varepsilon) m(x|\pi_0) + \varepsilon \cdot m(x|q) \end{aligned}$$

→ The ML-II prior can be found by maximizing $m(x|q)$ over $q \in Q$, and using the maximizing \hat{q} in the expression for π .

If Q is the class of anything.

$$m(x|q) = \int f(x|\theta) q(\theta) d\theta$$

To maximize this, we choose ~~q's prior~~ q to be a one-point distribution centered at $\hat{\theta}$ ($\hat{\theta}$ is the ML of θ given data).

Then

$$\frac{1}{n} = (1-\varepsilon)\pi_0 + \varepsilon \langle \hat{\theta} \rangle$$

"given functional form" of Γ

- The Moment Approach

relate prior moments to moments of marginals

Lemma Let $\mu_f(\theta)$, $\sigma_f^2(\theta)$ be conditional mean and variance of X (conditioned on θ , i.e., $f(x|\theta)$). Let μ_m and σ_m^2 denote the marginal mean and variance of X (w.r.t. $m(x)$)

Assuming these quantities exist, then

$$\mu_m = \mathbb{E}^\pi[\mu_f(\theta)]$$

$$\sigma_m^2 = \mathbb{E}^\pi[\sigma_f^2(\theta)] + \mathbb{E}^\pi[(\mu_f(\theta) - \mu_m)^2]$$

Proof. (the continuous case)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_m &= \mathbb{E}^m[X] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \cdot m(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} x \cdot \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta dx \\ &= \int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta) \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{X}} x f(x|\theta) dx}_{\mu_f(\theta)} d\theta \\ &= \int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta) \cdot \mu_f(\theta) d\theta\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_m^2 &= \mathbb{E}^m[(X - \mu_m)^2] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} (x - \mu_m)^2 \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) \pi(\theta) d\theta dx \\ &= \int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{X}} (x - \mu_m)^2 f(x|\theta) dx d\theta \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\pi[\mathbb{E}_\theta^f[(x - \mu_m)^2]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\pi[\mathbb{E}_\theta^f[(x - \mu_{f(\theta)})^2 + (\mu_f(\theta) - \mu_m)^2]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\pi[\mathbb{E}_\theta^f[(x - \mu_{f(\theta)})^2] + \\ &\quad 2 \mathbb{E}_\theta^f[(x - \mu_{f(\theta)}) \cdot (\mu_f(\theta) - \mu_m)] + \\ &\quad \mathbb{E}_\theta^f[\mu_f(\theta) - \mu_m]^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^\pi[\sigma_f^2(\theta)] + \mathbb{E}^\pi[\mu_f(\theta) - \mu_m]^2\end{aligned}$$

~~Follow~~

- Corollary
- If $\mu_f(\theta) = 0$, then $\mu_m = \mu_{\pi}$, where $\mu_{\pi} = E^{\pi}[\theta]$, prior mean
 - If, in addition, $\sigma_f^2(\theta) = \sigma_{\pi}^2$ is a constant independent of θ , then $\sigma_m^2 = \sigma_f^2 + \sigma_{\pi}^2$, where σ_{π}^2 is the prior variance.

$\rightarrow \mu_m, \sigma_m^2$ can usually be estimated by ML-II or subjective experience, we can then solve the prior.

Example Let $X \sim N(\theta, 1)$. $\Gamma = \{M(\mu_{\pi}, \sigma_{\pi}^2)\}$

If we know, either by subjective experience ~~or~~ or type II ML, that predictive density yield $\mu_m = 1, \sigma_m^2 = 3$, of X

Using corollary, we have $\mu_m = M_{\pi} \quad ||$, $\sigma_m^2 = 1 + \sigma_{\pi}^2 \quad ||$

Thus, we conclude $\pi = N(1, 2)$

The Distance Approach to Prior Selection

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Gamma \text{ not a "given functional form"} \\ \text{considerable information available about } m. \end{array} \right.$

\Rightarrow 1° estimate m .

2° use the integral relationship $m(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) dF^{\pi}(\theta)$ to estimate π

I.e., seek an estimate of π , say $\hat{\pi}$,

yielding $m_{\hat{\pi}}(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta) dF^{\hat{\pi}}(\theta)$

is close to $\hat{m}(x)$.

By "close," we minimize $KL(\hat{m} || m_{\hat{\pi}})$, given by

$$KL(\hat{m} || m_{\hat{\pi}}) = E^{\hat{m}} \left[\log \frac{\hat{m}(x)}{m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)} \right] = \begin{cases} \int x \hat{m}(x) \log \left[\frac{\hat{m}(x)}{m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)} \right] dx & (\text{continuous}) \\ \sum x \hat{m}(x) \log \left[\frac{\hat{m}(x)}{m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)} \right] & (\text{discrete}) \end{cases}$$

not related to $\hat{\pi}$

$$KL(\hat{m}, m_{\hat{\pi}}) = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{m}} \left[\log \frac{\hat{m}(x)}{m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)} \right] = \mathbb{E}^{\hat{m}} [\log \hat{m}(x)] - \mathbb{E}^{\hat{m}} [\log m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)]$$

Minimizing $KL(\hat{m} || m_{\hat{\pi}})$ \Leftrightarrow maximizing $\mathbb{E}^{\hat{m}} [\log m_{\hat{\pi}}(x)]$

CASE: $\Theta = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K\}$ finite

Let $p_i = \hat{\pi}(\theta_i)$.

$$\text{Then } m_{\hat{\pi}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^K f(x|\theta_i) p_i$$

The problem becomes to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}^{\hat{m}} \left[\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^K f(x|\theta_i) p_i \right) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^K f(x_j|\theta_i) p_i \right)$$

CASE: Θ continuous, the problem becomes very difficult.



- Hierarchical Priors



stage 1: $\Gamma = \{\pi_1(\theta|\lambda) : \pi_1 \text{ is of a given functional form, } \lambda \in \Lambda\}$

stage 2: $\pi_2(\lambda)$ on hyperparameter λ

- more robust
- usually use noninformative prior
- more stages are rarely used
- hierarchical prior is a convenient representation

$$\pi(\theta) = \int_{\Lambda} \pi_1(\theta|\lambda) dF^{\pi_2}(\lambda)$$

is the standard prior distribution.



for criticism